FUDMA Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology.... ISSN: 2504-9496 Vol. 7 No. 1, June 2021: Pp.27-35



ALLELOPATHY OF VELVET BEAN (Mucuna cochinchinensis (Wight) Burck) EXUDATES AND ITS INHIBITORY EFFECTS ON WEEDY RICE (Oryza sativa L.)

^{1*}Ibrahim A. J., ²Usman A.and ³Jatto, I. M.

¹Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Nasarawa State University, PMB 1022, Keffi, Nigeria ²Department of Chemistry, Nasarawa State University, PMB 1022, Keffi, Nigeria ³Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia. * Corresponding Author: abdul@nsuk.edu.ng: Phone: +234 8039 6711 97

Abstract

The experiment was conducted at the Toxicology laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, University Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia in 2013. Allelopathic potential of aqueous methanol and water extracts of *Mucuna*, cochinchinensis leaves, seeds and roots were investigated on seed germination and seedlings growth of weedy rice (Oryza sativa); and biotest crop specie: lettuce (Lactuca sativa). The treatments consisted of five concentrations (100, 75, 50, 25, 0 %); plant parts (leaves, seed, root) and extraction solvents (methanol, water) were replicated three times and arranged as a completely randomized block (CRD) design. Germination, hypocotyl and radicle growth of all test plant species were inhibited at concentrations (100, 75, 50 and 25%). Inhibitory activity was dependent on the extraction solvents and extract concentrations as reported that methanol at higher extract concentration had the stronger inhibitory activity. The mean EC_{50} values of M. cochinchinensis leaves, seed and root of methanol extracts in relation to the germination inhibition of O. sativa, 86.06%, 416.32% and 72% respectively, and 30.66% 55.84% and 18.24%, respectively, in Lactuca sativa. Similar trend was observed with the varying concentration of the water extracts. The methanol extract of root showed a greater inhibitory effect on the hypocotyl and radicle growth of weedy rice than its water extract, and more effective on total germination.

Keywords: Allelopathy; velvetbean; weedy rice; lettuce; methanol extract; weed control

INTRODUCTION

The prominent feature of plants being sessile have made them to develop survival strategies and defense and herbivory, microbes against plant-weed relationship in ecological environment which led to the study of allelopathy. Allelopathy is the chemically mediated interference between co-habiting plants and includes a growth stimulation or inhibition of the target plant, mostly following a hormesis (Rice, 1979; Belz et al,. 2007). Mucuna cochinchinensis displayed a good activity against Imperata cylindrica in fields; Striga hermonthica and Eleusine indica in vitro(Avav et al., 2008; Ibrahim et al., 2014; Ibrahim et al., 2018). Previous phytochemical investigation showed that the plant has nitrogen-containing compounds with allelopathic potential such as L DOPA that is a precursor of many alkaloids, catecholamines, and melanin and is released from velvet bean into soils, inhibiting the growth of nearby plant species (Anderson et al., 2014). Nishihara et al. (2005) reported that large quantities (1% and 4-7%) of allelochemical are found in the leaves and seeds, respectively. It is estimated that velvet bean can release about 100-450 kg ha⁻¹ of L-DOPA into the soil. Furthermore, its ability to control weeds and nematodes greatly reduces the need to apply synthetic chemicals to the crops (Vargas-Ayala et al., 2000; Fujii, 2003 and Nishihara et al., 2005). Reducing the impact of pesticide residue effect on crops, soil and underground water contamination and human health hazards. In Nigeria, yield increase of about 22 % and concomitant decrease in weed infestation have been observed in maize-velvetbean intercropped (Ahom et al., 2017).

Weedy rice is a monotypic weed of cultivated rice (Oryza sativa L.). It originated from wild rice (Oryza rufipogon Griff.), landraces and interbreeding between cultivated and wild rice in south and southeast Asian countries and the USA (Huang et al. 2017; Qiu et al. 2017; Vigueira et al. 2017). Delouche et al.(2007) reported that weedy rice types are morphologically similar to cultivated rice varieties but are highly susceptible to seed shattering and greater seed dormancy. Seed dormancy can allow weedy Oryza sp. to persist in the soil for up to 10 years (Goss and Brown 1939; Teekachunhatean 1985). However, the dormancy mechanisms that underlie the ability of weedy rice to remain in the soil seedbank could be decimated via alleopathic-mediated interaction in the soil. The objectives of this study were to investigate the allelopathic potential of velvet bean on the suppression of weedy rice in vitro and to determine the related plant growth inhibitors secreting the allelopathic substances; mainly, phenolic compounds.

Materials and Methods

Mature velvetbean plants that were cultivated in the glass house of Faculti Pertanian, Universiti, Putra Malaysia in 2013 were harvested and separated into leaves, seeds and roots. These plant portions were thoroughly washed and rinsed with distilled water, oven-dried at 50 °C for 72 hours, grounded with a Wiley mill in order to pass through a 1-mm screen mesh, and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C until when required.

The dried leaves, seeds and roots extract was carried out by soaking 0.5 kg in 1 L of methanol and distilled water to generate two fractions from each part and placed on a shaker for 48 hours at room temperature. The aqueous extracts were filtered through four layers of cheesecloth to remove the fiber debris and then filtered once again through a filter paper (no. 1; Whatman International, Maidstone, UK). Each extract was dried in vacuo on a rotary evaporator at 45 °C and then weighed. The methanol and water-extracted fractions were redissolved with 100 ml of sterile distilled water. The final concentration of each extract was 50 g L⁻¹. The aqueous solutions were described as 100 % and distilled water was added to the solutions to make different dilution (75, 50 and 25 %). The pH of the extracts ranges from 6.0 to 6.6. Extracts were stored in a refrigerator at 8 °C until further used for bioassay tests.

Allelopathic effect of methanol and water-soluble extracts from velvet bean on seedling germination and growth.

The germination test was carried out in an incubator according Hussain *et al.* (2008). The germination was assessed after 7 days by counting the number of germinated seeds. Germination was considered as the rupture of the seed coat and radicle emergence of ≥ 1 mm.

The total germination (TG) was determined, as described by Siddiqui (2007), and the percentage inhibition (I) as:

$$I = 1 - \frac{Lt}{Lc} \times 100$$

Lt = radicle length of the germinated seeds exposed to treatment, and Lc = radicle length of control germinated seed) computed.

All data were subjected to ANOVA and statistically analyzed by using a one-way ANOVA in JMP SAS statistical software (v. 9; SAS, Cary, USA) and the Tukey-Kramer HSD test was used to determine the differences between the treatment means at the 5 % probability level.

Germination bioassay

Weedy rice (Oryza sativa) was used as representative species because of their noxious effects in rice production. Lettuce (Lactuca sativa), was selected as a general biotest specie because it is frequently used as a model specie in allelopathic bioassay (Macias et al., 2000). The seeds were surface- sterilized with 1.5 % (v/v) sodium hypochloride for 1 minute before they were washed (three times) with sterile distilled water. Empty and undeveloped weed seeds were discarded by floating in tapwater. Ten seeds each of lettuce and weedy rice were placed in the petri dishes to which 4 ml of each extract solutions of varying concentrations were added. Sterile distilled water was used as the control. The petri dishes were sealed with paraffin wrappers to prevent water loss by evaporation and to avoid contamination. The petri dishes were kept in an incubator at 28 °C for one week. The experiment was laid out as a 2 x 3 x 5 factorial comprised of extraction solvent (methanol and water), plant parts (leaves, seed and root) and concentration (0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 %) in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with 3 repetitions. Germination was considered to have occurred as the rupture of the seed coat and the radicle protrusion beyond the seed coat by at least 1 mm.

The mean LC_{50} value (the dose for 50 % inhibition of seedling growth) was calculated by using a probit analysis, as described by Finney (1971). A logistic equation was fitted to the germination data as a function of the logarithm of the concentrations of the *M. cochinchinensis* leaves, seed and root extracts by using SPSS for Windows (v. 19.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Allelopathic effects of dried aqueous extracts from *Mucuna Cochinchinensis* on germination and seedling growth of *Lactuca sativa*.

The inhibitory effect of both the methanol and water extracts on the total seed germination and radicle inhibition is depended on the extract concentration and the plant species. For L. sativa, the seed germination was completely inhibited by the M. cochinchinensis root and leaves extracts at 75 and 100 % concentrations with lower inhibition as concentration decreased (Table 1), which significantly affected the radicle inhibition of the plant. Both seed germination and radicle inhibition were less sensitive to the seed extract at different concentration when compared with the leaves and root extracts. The leaves and root extracts exhibited higher inhibition of seed germination and shorter radicle irrespective of the concentration and the extraction solvents, whereas, the seed extract showed little or no inhibition of seed germination. This might be attributed to higher rate of the allelochemicals presence in the leaf and root extracts compared to the seed extract. Although, the water aqueous extracts showed lower inhibition of germination and seedlings growth when compared to the methanol aqueous extracts, there was significantly lower germination and subsequent inhibition. At the highest extract concentration of 100 %, both methanol leaves and root extracts completely inhibited the germination and radicle length of L sativa, indicating their suppressive effects on the seed and seedlings growth at higher concentration. Similarly, results obtained for the germination and seedlings growth of O. sativa showed that methanol and water aqueous seed extract exhibited lower germination and inhibition of radicle and hypocotyl growth of O. sativa (Table 2). However, there was significant inhibition of the radicle length at any of the tested concentrations. Generally, the level of inhibition of seed germination and radicle length decreased were increased with the increasing concentration of the extracts. The increased inhibitory rate with the increasing concentration was in accordance with previous reports (Fujii, 1991; Chon et al., 2003; Meksawat and Pornprom, 2010; Hussain et al., 2011) for other allelopathic species.

Germination bioassays

The effect of the M. cochinchinensis leaves, seeds and root and extracts on the germination of the weed species and L. sativa after the probit analysis is presented on (Table 3). The total number of seeds, ungerminated seeds, expected response, probability were determined against the four different concentrations (100, 75, 50, and 25%) of the methanol and water aqueous extracts. The data of the ungerminated seeds were fitted to the probit model after log transformation of the data. The result of the x^2 -tests for goodness-of-fit was d=10 (at the 95% confidence limit) for the ungerminated seeds. The regression equations were Y=-6.25 + 4.207X and Y=-5.72 + 3.149X in relation to the *L. sativa* germination after exposure to both the methanol and water aqueous leaves extracts of M. cochinchinensis respectively. The concentration of 30.66 % and 60.96 % were diagnosed concentration of the methanol and water aqueous leaves extracts of that inhibited 50% of the seed germination of L. sativa. However, the seed and

root of both the methanol and water aqueous extracts were analyzed (Table 3), with their corresponding diagnostic concentration of 55.84 % and 99.73 % in relation to the methanol and water aqueous seed extracts and 18.24 % and 56.40 % in the root extracts that inhibited 50% of the seed germination of *L. sativa*, respectively. According to Zhang *et al.* (2020), reported that dose-dependent concentration of allelochemical (isoliquiritigenin) caused a 40 % reduction of radicle elongation in *L. sativa*.

In respect to O. sativa, the regression equations obtained in the leaves and seed of the methanol and water aqueous extracts were Y=-12.08 + 6.245X and Y = -8.427 + 4.091X and Y=-4.612 + 1.763X with their corresponding diagnostic concentration of 86.06 % and 114.77 % and 416.32 % respectively as presented on Table 4. No regression equation was computed in relation to O. sativa after exposure to the seed water aqueous extract of M. cochinchinensis because there was complete seed germination. The root aqueous extracts of M. cochinchinensis also exhibited lower inhibition of germination. The regression equations were Y= -9.646 + 5.194X and Y = -11.57 + 5.824X following exposure to the root extracts. The concentration of 72 % of the methanol root extract and 96.93 % of the water aqueous extract of M. cochinchinensis of inhibited 50 % of the seed germination of O. sativa. The aqueous extracts of the leaves and root of M. cochinchinensis suppressed the seed germination and seedlings growth of L. sativa and O. sativa. The methanol root extract of M. cochinchinensis significantly inhibited L. sativa germination at varying concentration tested, however, it demonstrated a very lethal because it caused 18.24 % phytotoxic effect on the seed germination. Hussain et al. (2020) documented that Acacia phyllodes extract at 100 % resulted in a lethal reduction and caused 50.78 % phytotoxicity in *L. sativa* shoot length. The marginal improvement in the germination at low concentrations of the *M. cochinchinensis* extracts could be the result of the activity of the extraction solvents (methanol and water), concentration and the amount of phytotoxins present in the plan parts.

Table 1: Effects of velvetbean extracts on germination and seedling growth of Lettuce (L. sativa).

Concentration	Total	Radicle	% Radicle	Hypocotyl	Total	Radicle	% Radicle	Hypocotyl	
(%)	Germination	Length (cm)	Inhibition	length (cm)	Germination (%)	Length (cm)	Inhibition	length (cm)	
	(%)								
	Methanol extract					Water extract			
Leaves									
0	100a	6.66a	0.00	2.49ab	100.00a	6.66a	0.00	2.49ab	
25	63.33b	5.09ab	23.57	3.01a	96.97a	5.83ab	12.46	3.94a	
50	20.00c	3.59b	46.10	2.53ab	53.33b	5.07ab	23.87	3.64a	
75	6.67c	0.32c	95.52	1.30bc	50.00b	2.75b	58.71	3.39a	
100	0.00c	0.00c	100.00	0.00c	20.00c	2.41b	63.81	1.00b	
SE±	4.94	0.35		0.33	5.57	0.76		0.42	
F-ratio	74.00	68.02		13.85	37.04	6.15		7.99	
Prob> F	<.0001	<.0001		0.0004	<.0001	0.0092		0.0037	
Seed									
0	100a	6.66a	0.00	2.49ab	100.00a	6.66a	0.00	2.49a	
25	76.69ab	6.00a	9.91	2.79a	96.67a	5.94ab	10.81	2.60a	
50	53.33bc	3.98b	40.24	2.23abc	86.67ab	5.33b	19.97	2.92a	
75	40.00c	3.69b	44.59	2.04bc	63.33bc	3.07c	53.90	2.54a	
100	30.00c	2.56b	61.56	1.82c	50.00c	2.88c	56.76	1.09b	
SE±	6.15	0.42		0.14	5.16	0.38		0.20	
F-ratio	21.32	16.43		7.82	17.79	20.35		12.18	
Prob> F	<.0001	0.0002		0.0040	0.0002	<.0001		0.0007	
Root									
0	100.00a	6.66a	0.00	2.49a	100.00a	6.66a	0.00	2.49ab	
25	26.67b	3.43b	48.50	2.22a	86.67a	4.47b	32.88	3.47a	
50	3.33c	0.27c	95.95	0.17b	56.67b	3.17bc	52.40	4.17a	
75	0.00c	0.00c	100.00	0.00b	43.33b	2.57bc	61.41	2.40ab	
100	0.00c	0.00c	100.00	0.00b	13.33c	2.03c	69.52	1.22b	
SE±	4.22	0.63		0.38	5.96	0.46		0.47	
F-ratio	103.25	21.81		11.02	33.59	16.15		5.76	
Prob> F	<.0001	<.0001		0.0011	<.0001	0.0002		0.0114	

Values in the column with same letter are not significantly different at P<0.05

Table 2: Effects of velvetbean extracts on germination and seedling growth of weedy rice (O. sativa).

Concentration	Total	Radicle	% Radicle	Hypocotyl	Total	Radicle	% Radicle	Hypocotyl
(%)	Germination	Length (cm)	Inhibition	length (cm)	Germination (%)	Length (cm)	Inhibition	length (cm)
	(%)							
	Methanol extract				Water extract			
Leaves								
0	100.00a	12.21a	0.00	8.08a	100.00a	12.21a	0.00	8.08a
25	100.00a	9.03b	25.55	8.12a	100.00a	9.70ab	20.56	7.60a
50	86.67a	5.12c	58.07	5.64b	90.00ab	6.97b	42.92	7.05a
75	83.33a	1.67d	86.32	3.36c	83.33ab	3.13c	74.37	5.70a
100	23.33b	1.26d	89.68	2.12c	56.67b	1.91c	84.36	1.98b
SE±	4.47	0.67		0.49	8.03	0.73		0.66
F-ratio	50.72	50.14		7.06	4.95	35.05		13.92
Prob> F	<.0001	<.0001		0.0057	0.018	<.0001		0.0004
Seed								
0	100.00a	12.21a	0.00	8.08a	100.00a	12.21a	0.00	8.08a
25	100.00a	9.39ab	23.10	8.17a	100.00a	12.48a	-2.21	8.52a
50	90.00a	7.44b	39.07	7.03ab	100.00a	1.70a	12.37	7.63a
75	93.33a	3.25c	73.38	5.26b	100.00a	4.84b	60.36	7.25a
100	86.67b	2.00c	83.62	4.94b	100.00a	3.00b	75.43	5.33b
SE±	4.94	0.77		0.57	0.00	0.76		0.90
F-ratio	1.45	30.15		7.06		25.02		1.88
Prob> F	0.2867	<.0001		0.0057		<.0001		0.19
Root								
0	100.00a	12.21a	0.00	8.08a	100.00a	12.21a	0.00	8.08a
25	90.00ab	7.27b	40.46	6.99ab	100.00a	9.95a	18.51	6.29b
50	80.00b	3.53c	71.09	6.81ab	93.33ab	6.08b	45.29	7.62ab
75	53.33c	1.51c	87.63	4.63bc	80.00b	4.84bc	60.36	8.45a
100	13.33d	0.76c	93.78	3.27c	43.33c	1.92c	84.28	7.33ab
SE±	4.22	0.60		0.62	4.22	0.63		0.75
F-ratio	68.25	61.18		9.94	31.87	41.46		1.22
Prob> F	<.0001	<.0001		0.0016	<.0001	<.0001		0.36

Values in the column with same letter are not significantly different at P<0.05

Table 3: Probit analysis for the seed germination of *L. sativa*, exposed to four concentration of *M. cochinchinensis* aqueous extracts

Concentration (%) of seeds ungerminated seeds response Methanol leaf 100 10 10 9.85 0.985 75 10 9 9.49 0.949 50 10 8 8.14 0.814 25 0.352 0.4612 0.612 0.612 0.612 0.612 0	cochinchine	ensis aqueous extract	S.		
Cleave C		Total number	Number of	Expected	Probability
Methanol leaf 100 10 10 9.85 0.985 75 10 9 9.49 0.949 50 10 8 8.14 0.814 25 10 4 3.55 0.355 Water leaf 100 10 8 7.51 0.751 75 10 5 6.12 0.612 50 10 5 3.93 0.393 25 10 0 1.14 0.114 Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 9.97 0.997 75 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extra	Concentration (%)	of seeds		response	
100 10 10 9.85 0.985 75 10 9 9.49 0.949 50 10 8 8.14 0.814 25 10 4 3.55 0.355 Water leaf 100 10 8 7.51 0.751 75 10 5 6.12 0.612 50 10 5 3.93 0.393 25 10 0 1.14 0.114 Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Leave</td> <td></td> <td></td>			Leave		
75 10 9 9.49 0.949 50 10 8 8.14 0.814 25 10 4 3.55 0.355 Water leaf 100 10 8 7.51 0.751 75 10 5 6.12 0.612 50 10 5 3.93 0.393 25 10 0 1.14 0.114 Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1					
50 10 8 8.14 0.814 25 10 4 3.55 0.355 Water leaf 100 10 8 7.51 0.751 75 10 5 6.12 0.612 50 10 5 3.93 0.393 25 10 0 1.14 0.114 Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract					
25 10 4 3.55 0.355 Water leaf 100 8 7.51 0.751 75 10 5 6.12 0.612 50 10 5 3.93 0.393 25 10 0 1.14 0.114 Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700					
Water leaf 100 8 7.51 0.751 75 10 5 6.12 0.612 50 10 5 3.93 0.393 25 10 0 1.14 0.114 Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5					
100 10 8 7.51 0.751 75 10 5 6.12 0.612 50 10 5 3.93 0.393 25 10 0 1.14 0.114 Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50	25	10	4	3.33	0.355
75 10 5 6.12 0.612 50 10 5 3.93 0.393 25 10 0 1.14 0.114 Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water see	Water leaf				
50 10 5 3.93 0.393 Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed	100	10		7.51	0.751
Nethanol seed Nethanol seed Nethanol seed					
Root extract Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 1 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1	25	10	0	1.14	0.114
Methanol root 100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1			Root extract		
100 10 10 10.00 0.999 75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64	Methanol root				
75 10 10 9.97 0.997 50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164	100	10	10	10.00	0.999
50 10 10 9.74 0.974 25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164					
25 10 7 7.29 0.729 Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164					
Water root 100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164					
100 10 9 7.98 0.798 75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164	23	10	1	1.29	0.729
75 10 6 6.61 0.661 50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164	Water root				
50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164	100	10	9	7.98	0.798
50 10 4 4.30 0.430 25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164	75	10	6	6.61	0.661
25 10 1 1.18 0.118 Seed extract Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164					
Seed extract Methanol seed 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164					
Methanol seed 100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164	23	10	-	1.10	0.116
100 10 7 7.00 0.700 75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164	Methanol seed		Seed Cattact		
75 10 6 6.00 0.604 50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164		10	7	7.00	0.700
50 10 5 4.61 0.461 25 10 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164					
25 Water seed 100 2 2.35 0.235 Water seed 100 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164					
Water seed 100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164					
100 10 5 5.02 0.502 75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164	-	10	2	2.35	0.235
75 10 4 3.43 0.343 50 10 1 1.64 0.164	Water seed				
50 10 1 1.64 0.164	100	10	5	5.02	0.502
50 10 1 1.64 0.164	75	10	4	3.43	0.343
	25	10	0	0.25	0.025

Regression line parameters (methanol leaf extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -6.25 + 4.207X; diagnostic concentration = 30.66%. Regression line parameters (water leaf extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -5.72 + 3.149X; diagnostic concentration = 60.96%. Regression line parameters (methanol root extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -5.611 + 4.45X; diagnostic concentration = 18.24%. Regression line parameters (water root extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -5.88 + 3.357X; diagnostic concentration = 56.40%. Regression line parameters (methanol seed extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -3.611 + 2.067X; diagnostic concentration = 55.84%. Regression line parameters (water seed extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -6.517 + 3.26X; diagnostic concentration = 99.73%

Table 4: Probit analysis for the seed germination of weedy rice, exposed to four concentration of M.

cochinchinensis aqueous extracts.

cochinchinensis aqueous extracts.								
Extract	Total no.	Number of	Expected	Probability				
Concentration (%)	of seeds	ungerminated seeds	response					
Methanol leaf								
100	10	8	6.58	0.658				
75	10	2	3.55	0.355				
50	10	1	0.71	0.70				
25	10	0	0.00	0.00				

Water leaf	4.0		4.00	0.402				
100	10	4	4.03	0.403				
75	10	2	2.25	0.225				
50	10	1	0.70	0.070				
25	10	0	0.03	0.003				
		Root extract						
Methanol root								
100	10	9	7.71	0.771				
75	10	4	5.37	0.537				
50	10	2	2.05	0.205				
25	10	0	0.85	0.009				
Water root								
100	10	6	5.31	0.531				
75	10	2	2.58	0.258				
50	10	1	0.47	0.047				
25	10	0	0.00	0.000				
		Seed extract						
Methanol seed								
100	10	1	1.37	0.137				
75	10	1	0.95	0.095				
50	10	1	0.52	0.052				
25	10	0	0.16	0.016				

Regression line parameters (methanol leaf extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -12.08 + 6.245X; diagnostic concentration = 86.06%. Regression line parameters (water leaf extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -8.427 + 4.091X; diagnostic concentration = 114.77%. Regression line parameters (methanol root extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -9.646 + 5.194X; diagnostic concentration = 72.00%. Regression line parameters (water root extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -11.568 + 5.824X; diagnostic concentration = 96.93%. Regression line parameters (methanol seed extract): Y = a + bX; Y = -4.612 + 1.763X; diagnostic concentration = 416.32%.

Conclusion

The results obtained in this study showed that the methanol and water aqueous extracts of the leaves, seed and root of *M. cochinchinensis* possess allelochemicals that suppressed seed germination and root growth of *L. sativa* and *O. sativa* and that the inhibition was concentration percentage and extraction solvent-dependent.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Department of Plant Protection, Universiti Putra Malaysia for providing assistance for the laboratory work. This work was supported by the Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) of Nigeria.

Reference

- Ahom, R.I., Ibrahim, A.J.,Magani, E.I. and Shave, P.A. (2017). Productivity of maize-bean intercrop grown in *Striga hermonthica* infested soils as determined by competitive indices. *Nigerian Journal of Weed Science*. 30, 1 9.
- Anderson, R.S., Rogério, M., Rita de Cássia, S., Rogério, B., Wanderley, D.and Osvaldo, F. (2014). The role of L-DOPA in plants. *Plant Signaling and Behavior*. 9, 1 7.
- Avav, T., Shave, P.A. and Hilakaan, P.H. (2008). Growth of Mucuna accessions under fallow and their influence on soil and weeds in a sub-humid savanna environment. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*. 10, 224 -228.
- Belz, R.G., Velin,i E.D. and Duke, S.O. (2007).

 Dose/response Relationships in Allelopathy
 Research. Allelopathy: New Concepts and
 Methodologies. Science Publishers, Enfield,
 NH, 3–29.
- Chon, S.U., Kim, Y.M. and Lee, J.C. (2003). Herbicidal potential and quantification of causative allelochemicals from several Compositae weeds. *Weed Research*. 43, 444 450.
- Delouche, J.C., Burgos, N., Gaely, D., Zorrilla, G. and Labrada, R. (2007). Weedy rices origin, biology, ecology and control, FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 188, Rome, pp. 3–15.

- Finney, D.J. (1971). Probit Analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Fujji, Y., Tomoko, S. and Tamaki, Y.(1991). L-3,4-Dihydroxyphenyalalanine as an Allelopathic Candidate from *Mucuna pruriens* (L.) DC. var *utilis*. *Agric*. *Biol*. *Chem*. 55 (2), 617-618.
- Fujii, Y. (1994). Screening of allelopathic candidates by new specific discrimination and assessment methods for allelopathy, and the inhibition of L-DOPA as the allelopathic substance from the most promising velvetbean (*Mucuna pruriens*).Bull. Nat. Inst. Agro-Environ. Sci. 10, 115–218. (in Japanese with English summary).
- Fujii, Y. (2003). Allelopathy in the natural and agricultural ecosystems and isolation of potent allelochemicals from Velvet bean (*Mucuna pruriens*) and Hairy vetch (Vicia villosa). *Biol Sci Space*. 17:613.
- Fujii, Y., Golisz, A., Furubayashi, A., Iqbal, Z. and Nasir, H.(2005). Allelochemicals from buckwheat and Tartary buckwheat and practical weed control in the field. Proceedings of the 20th Asian-Pacific Weed Science Society Conference. 227 233. Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.
- Goss, W.L., Brown E. (1939). Buried red rice seed. *J Am Soc Agron*. 31, 633–637.
- Huang Z., Young N.D., Reagon M., Hyma K.E., Olsen K.M. and Jia Y.(2017). All roads to weediness: patterns of genomic divergence reveal extensive recurrent weedy rice origins from south Asian *Oryza*. *Mol. Ecol.* 26, 3151–3167.
- Hooper, A.M., Tsanuo, M. K., Chamberlain, K.,Tittcomb, K., Scholes, J., Z. Hassanali, A., Khan, Z. R. and Picketta, J. A.(2010). Isoschaftoside, a C-glycosylflavonoid from *Desmodium uncinatum* root exudate, is an allelochemical against the development of *Striga. Phytochemistry*. 71, 904–908.
- Hussain M.I., González L. and Reigosa M.J. (2008).Germination andgrowth response of four plant species towards different allelochemicals and herbicides.*Allelopathy Journal*.22, 101–110.

- Hussain, M.I., Gonzalez, L. and Reigosa, M.J.(2011). Allelopathic potential of *Acacia melanoxylon* on the germination and root growth of native species. *Weed Biology and Management*. 11, 18 28.
- Hussain, M.I., El-Sheikh, M.A. and Reigosa, M.J. (2020). Allelopathic Potential of Aqueous Extract from *Acacia melanoxylon* R. Br. on *Lactuca sativa*. *Plants*. 9, 1228-1241.
- Ibrahim, A., Magani, E. I. and Ahom. R.I. (2014). Evaluation of Allelopathic potential of Velvetbean (*Mucuna cochinchinensis* (Wight) Burck) on germination and growth of *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. *NSUK J. of Sci. and Tech.* 4, 31 40.
- Ibrahim, A.J., Omar, D andMagani, E.I. (2018). Evaluation on allelopathic potential of velvet bean (*Mucuna cochinchinensis*) on germination of goosegrass (*Eleusine indica* L.). *International J. of Innovative Approaches in Agricultural Research*.2(1), 25-34. doi: 10.29329/ijiaar.2018.133.3
- Macías, F.A., Castellano, D. and Molinillo, J.M.G.(2000). Search for a standard phytotoxic bioassay for allelochemicals. Selection of standard target species. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 48, 2512–2521.
- Meksawat, S. and Pornprom, T. (2010). Allelopathic effect of itchgrass (*Rottboellia cochinchinensis*) on seed germination and plant growth. *Weed Biology and Management*. 10, 16 24.
- Nishihara, E., Parvez, M.M., Araya, H., Kawashima, S. and Fujii, Y. (2005). L-3-(3,4-Dihydroxyphenyl) alanine (L-DOPA), an allelochemical exuded from velvetbean (*Mucuna pruriens*) roots. *Plant Growth Regul*. 45:113-20.

- Qiu. J., Zhou. Y., Mao, L., Ye, C., Wang, W. and Zhang, J.(2017). Genomic variation associated with local adaptation of weedy rice during de-domestication. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 15323.
- Rice, E.L. (1979). Allelopathy—an update. The Botanical Review. 45, 15–109.
- Rice, E.L. (1984). Allelopathy, 2nd edition.Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 422pp.
- Siddiqui, Z.S. (2007). Allelopathic effects of black pepper leachings on *Vignamungo*. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*. 29(4), 303-308.
- Teekachunhatean, T. (1985). Release, Induction and Significance of Dormancy in Seeds of Red Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Ph.D dissertation. Starkville, MS: Mississippi State University. 132 p
- Vargas-Ayala, R., Rodrigues-Kabana, R., MorganJones, G., McInroy, J.A. and Kloepper, J.W. (2000). Shifts in soil microflora induced by velvetbean (*Mucuna deeringiana*) in cropping systems to control rootknot nematodes. *Biol Control*; 17:11-22.
- Vigueira, C.C., Qi, X., Song, B.K., Li, L.F., Caicedo, A. and Jia, Y.(2017). Call of the wild rice: *Oryza rufipogon* shapes weedy rice evolution in Southeast Asia. *Evol. Appl.* 1 –12.
- Weir, T.L., Park, S.W. and Vivanco, J.M.(2004). Biochemical and physiological mechanisms mediated by allelochemicals. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 7, 472–9.
- Zhang, S., Sun, S.W., Shi, H.L., Zhao, K., Wang, J., Liu, Y., Liu, X.H. and Wang, W. (2020). Physiological and biochemical mechanisms mediated by allelochemical isoliquiritigenin on the growth of lettuce seedlings. *Plants.* 9, 245.