

https://doi.org/10.33003/jaat.2023.0903.08

PREVALENCE OF ANAPLASMOSIS IN SMALL RUMINANTS IN SOME SELECTED LIVESTOCK FARMS IN WUKARI METROPOLIS TARABA STATE NIGERIA

Nyifi, A.S^{1*}, Ahmadu, P.J¹, Mamtso, R.J²

¹Departmet of Animal Production and Health, Federal University, Wukari, Taraba State ²Department of Animal Health Technology, College of Agriculture, Science and Technology, Jalingo, Taraba State *Corresponding Author: Email: <u>ambisilas462@gmail.com</u>; Telephone: +2348138244909,

ABSTRACT

Anaplasmosis is a tick-borne haemo-parasitic disease that causes huge economic loss in small ruminant flocks. The pathogen, Anaplasma Spp destroys red blood cells resulting in anaemia. The study was aimed at determining the prevalence of Anaplasmosis in Goats and Sheep in Wukari metropolis, Taraba State, Nigeria and to determine the prevalence based on age and sex. A total of 100 small ruminants (n =50 sheep, n =50 goats) were randomly sampled. 5mls of blood were collected via jugular vein puncture stained with Giemsa, and examined under a microscope for the pathogen. An overall prevalence of 21% was obtained; goats had a prevalence of 32% while sheep had 10%. Based on age, the prevalence was observed higher in adults (>2yrs) than the young ones (\leq 2yrs), while prevalence based on sex was higher in females than in the males. Prevalence among the female animals was observed higher in pregnant ones in both goats and sheep and therefore, special veterinary and management care should be given to tick infested pregnant animals because of their prone to the disease due to their suppressed immunity during pregnancy. Also, adult animals that have gone through many ticks' seasons with heavy tick infestation should also be given special veterinary and management attention. Veterinary care through prevention and control of ticks by chemical method either by fumigation of the animal farms with acaricides or regular treatment of tick infested animals with acaricides by direct application on the animal host is recommended. Also, management changes such as clearing bushes, keeping pastures mowed and avoiding tick habitats during the season of greatest tick activity may be helpful and therefore recommended. This will reduce the prevalence of the disease with the resultant effect of reduced economic loss.

Keywords: Anaplasmosis; Giemsa; Prevalence; Small ruminants; Taraba

INTRODUCTION

Small ruminant production in Nigeria contributes greatly to the socio-economic livelihood of many households. Goats and sheep are good sources of income generation and household consumption in Nigeria (Fakoya & Oloruntoba, 2009). Because of their high fertility, short generation interval, and adaptation even in harsh environments, many rural households consider sheep and goats production as a *lucrative* investment that provides them with cash income to purchase food during the period of economic hardship and crop failure (Sheferaw et al., 2010). The economic, social, and nutritional benefits derived from sheep and goat production are limited due to increased mortality, reduced livestock production and productivity caused by many factors including diseases (Kagira & Kanyari,2010). Diseases of various etiological origins are among the numerous factors responsible for poor production and productivity in livestock farming (Bekele et al.2011). Vector-borne haemoparasitic diseases are economically important diseases in both tropical and subtropical parts of the world. Domestic ruminants in sub-saharan Africa may be infected with a wide variety of haemoparasites such as rickettsiae; like Anaplasma and Ehrlichia (Cowdria), and protozoan parasites such as Theileria, Babesia and Trypanosome (Bell-Sakyi et al., 2004). These haemoparasites feed on the blood of host animals and

have generally been shown to destroy red blood cells resulting in anaemia (Githigia et al., 2011). Farmers may be faced with the challenges of these haemoparasites on the health of their animals (Stuen, 2020).One of the haemoparasitic diseases affecting ruminants is anaplasmosis, a tick-borne disease (TBD) affecting majorly ruminants (Torina et al., 2007). The disease is distributed worldwide (Chochlakis et al.2009). In Nigeria, Igwenagu et al. (2018) reported a prevalence rate of 7% in goats in Maiduguri metropolis. Ruminants such as cattle, sheep, goats, deer, antelopes, giraffes and buffalo may be infected. Anaplama ovis is pathogenic to small ruminants (goats and sheep) in tropical and subtropical regions of the world (Noaman, 2013). There have been findings of other Anaplasma infections in goats and sheep in which A.marginale has been proven capable of infecting goats and sheep (Stoltsz,2004). The disease causes important economic loss, primarily due to the high morbidity and mortality in susceptible sheep and goats. The losses are measured through several factors including low weight gain, reduction in milk production, abortion, the cost of treatment and death. The level of Anaplasma ovis infection in small ruminants and its economic effects on livestock production is still poorly comprehended by many livestock farmers in Nigeria (Alayande et al., 2016). Despite the wide spread of anapalasmosis, many livestock farmers have erroneously attributed the economic loss caused by this pathogen to other haemoparasites such as *Babesia* and *Trypanosomes* due to its poor awareness. The intensity of tick infestation in goats and sheep kept in Wukari metropolis and the epidemiological link between ticks and the disease necessitates this study because the economic losses associated with the disease pose a great set-back to livestock farmers. This will minimize the economic effect associated with the disease on livestock production. It's against this background that this research was aimed broadly at finding the prevalence of anaplasmosis in small ruminants in some selected farms in Wukari metropolis. The results of the study provide data about the status of the disease in the study area. This data will be used to enlighten farmers on the need of vector (ticks) control to reduce the prevalence of the disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The study was conducted in some selected Livestock Farms such as Federal University Wukari Livestock Farm, Marmara Livestock Farm and Ajiduku Livestock Farm in Wukari metropolis, Taraba State. Wukari is situated in North Eastern Nigeria which lies within latitude 70.52'; 48'N to 70 .87' N and a longitude 90 .43' 38''E to 90 .77''E at an altitude of 189 meters above sea level (Taraba State Diary,2018). The town has an estimated population of 241.546 2006 population in census (NPC,2006).Taraba State has a tropical climate, marked by two distinct seasons; a wet season which starts in March and ends in October, and a dry season which starts in November and ends in March or April (Taraba State Diary, 2018). The study areas were chosen because of the availability and accessibility to small ruminants.

Data Collection

Records on sex, age, specie, gravid status, and source of the animals were recorded. Only apparently pregnant animals were considered pregnant in this study. The small ruminants were grouped into two based on age: >2 years and \leq 2 years old. The age was estimated through the dentition by looking at the appearance of the permanent incisor. On the other hand, the females among them were identified by the presence of prominent vulva in the perineal area and udder located in the inguinal area. The presence of the male organ (scrotal sac) located in the inguinal area was used in the identification of the males (rams and bucks)

Sample Collection

A cross sectional study was conducted from December, 2022 to February, 2023. Blood sampling was performed on animals with tick infestation. About

5ml of blood samples were collected via jugular vein puncture of hundred (100) randomly selected small ruminants of both sexes and different ages. Out of these one hundred (100), fifty (50) sheep and fifty (50) goats were sampled; twenty five (25%) per sex of each species. Thrusfield formula $(N = Z^2P (1-P)/d^2)$ was used in calculating the sample size of this study by considering 50% expected prevalence(P), 95% confidence interval (CI) (Z =1.96) with 5% desired absolute precision (d)(Thrustfield,2007).Although, the required sample size obtained by this formula was relatively larger, but only 100 small ruminants were sampled for this investigation. All procedures involving the handling and collection of blood samples from the animals were approved by the Ethical Committee for Animal Research of Federal University Wukari Taraba State (Ref no:CAR/FUW/EL/057). The collected blood samples was gently transferred into ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) bottles and transported to the Microbiology and Parasitology Laboratory of College of the Agriculture, Science and Technology, Jalingo for laboratory analysis.

Laboratory Analysis

The thin blood film method was used in detecting the parasite. A drop of blood from each blood sample was placed on a clean grease-free glass slide to prepare a thin blood smear (Houwen, 2002). The samples were air dried, fixed in methanol for 2-3 minutes, stained in 10% Giemsa-stain, and rinsed in buffered water according to Jain (1986). The smear was examined on a microscope at ×100 magnifications (Oil immersion) at Microbiology and Parasitology Laboratory of College of Agriculture, Science and Technology, Jalingo.Blood smears were recorded positive for anaplasmosis if Anaplasma inclusion bodies were observed. Blood smears without anaplasma inclusion bodies were recorded as negative. Anaplasma ovis and Anaplasma marginale inclusion bodies were seen as small dots staining deep purple located at the margin of the erythrocyte (Stoltsz,2004)

Statistical Analysis

Positive samples were expressed using simple percentages and presented in tables. Association of sex, age, and specie with the prevalence of anaplasmosis in small ruminants was tested using Chi-square at a 0.05 level of significance using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17.Results were considered significant at $P \le 0.05$.

RESULTS

A total number of 100 blood samples were analyzed with an overall prevalence of 21%. Out of the 100 small ruminants examined, 21 were positive for anaplasmosis. The study revealed that 16 goats and 5 sheep were positive for anaplasmosis. Goats had the highest prevalence rate of 32% as compared to 10% in

FUDMA Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Volume 9 Number 3, September 2023, Pp. 52-57

sheep. Association between species and prevalence was statistically significant, P < 0.05 (Table 1). Out of the 16 positive goats, 5 were male and 11 were female while 2 male and 3 female were recorded in sheep. The male goats had a prevalence rate of 20% as compared to 44% in females. In sheep, the males had prevalence rate of 8% while the female had 12%. In both species, the prevalence rate was higher in female animals than the males. Out of the positive female animals, 11 (78.6%) were apparently pregnant. There was no association between sex and anaplasmosis, P > 0.05

(Table 2). Out of the 16 positive goats, 6 were young (≤ 2 years), and 10 were adults (> 2 years), while among the 5 positive sheep, 2 were young (≤ 2 years), and 3 were adults (> 2 years). The young goats had a prevalence rate of 28.6% as compared to 34.5% for adults. In the sheep, the young had a prevalence rateof 8.7% while the adult had a prevalence rate of 11.1%. In both species, the prevalence rate was higher in adult animals than in the young. The relationship between age and prevalence was statistically insignificant, P >0.05 (Table 3).

| Sex | No. examined | No. positive | % Prevalence | P-value | |
|-----|--------------|--|---|--|--|
| М | 25 | 5 | 20 | 0.132. | |
| F | 25 | 11 | 44 | | |
| | 50 | 16 | 32 | | |
| М | 25 | 2 | 8 | P-value | |
| F | 25 | 3 | 12 | 0.2 | |
| | 50 | 5 | 10 | | |
| | 100 | 21 | 21 | | |
| | M F | M 25 F 25 50 M 25 F 25 50 | M 25 5 F 25 11 50 16 M 25 2 F 25 3 50 5 | M 25 5 20 F 25 11 44 50 16 32 M 25 2 8 F 25 3 12 50 5 10 | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

Table 1: Prevalence of Anaplasmosis Based on Species

*Significance = $P \le 0.05$

 Table 2: Prevalence of Anaplasmosis in Small Ruminants Based on Sex

| Species | No. examined | No. positive | %prevalence | P-value |
|---------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Goats | 50 | 16 | 32 | 0.02 |
| Sheep | 50 | 5 | 10 | |
| Total | 100 | 21 | 21 | |

*Significance = $P \le 0.05$

Table 3: Prevalence of Anaplasmosis in Small Ruminants Based on Age

| Species | Age(yrs) | No.examined | No. positive | %Prevalence | P-value |
|----------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Goats | ≤ 2 yrs | 21 | 6 | 28.6 | 0.72 |
| | >2yrs | 29 | 10 | 34.5 | |
| Subtotal | | 50 | 16 | 32 | |
| Sheep | | | | | P-value |
| - | ≤ 2 yrs | 23 | 2 | 8.7 | 0.79 |
| | ≤2yrs >2yrs | 27 | 3 | 11.1 | |
| Subtotal | | 50 | 5 | 10 | |
| Overall | | 100 | 21 | 21 | |

*Significance = $P \le 0.0$



Blood film showing Anaplama inclusion bodies. The arrows are pointed at the inclusion bodies which are small dots staining deep purple located at the margin of the erythrocytes

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study show that Anaplasmosis is present in both goats and sheep kept in some farms in Wukari Metropolis, Taraba state, Nigeria. An overall prevalence of 21% was obtained in this study and is comparable with 21.7% (Sadaf et al., 2020) and 23.3% (Nasreen et al., 2016) reported in Pakistan and the 11.7% reported in China (De la Fuente et al., 2006). The marked variations in prevalence across the different countries above could be attributed to either geographical locations, different diagnostic methods used, animal breeds with different susceptibility to pathogens, the season of sample collection, climatic differences, tick infestation density, number of samples involved, or non-regular use of acaricide by livestock farmers in some study areas. Previous studies in Nigeria by Adamu & Balarabe (2012), Opara et al.(2016), and Anyanwu et al.(2016) recorded varied prevalence across species of small ruminants examined. In this study, the prevalence was higher in goats (32%) than in sheep (10%) which agrees with the reports of Anyanwu et al.(2016) and Opara et al.(2016) who reported prevalence rates of (13.7% and 20.7%) and (9.4% and 15.3%) in sheep and goats respectively. In contrast, Adamu & Balarabe (2012) reported a higher prevalence in sheep (13%) than in goats (11%).

Sex-specific data revealed that female animals in both species had higher prevalence than male animals. This finding agrees with previous reports on sheep by other investigators such as Alayande et al. (2016), Ademola & Onviche (2013) who reported higher prevalence in female sheep than in males. Similarly, in goats, Sadaf et al.(2020) reported a higher prevalence in females compared to males. However, Igwenagu et al.(2018) and Da Silva et al.(2018) observed in contrast, higher prevalence in male goats and sheep than in the female ones. The observed higher prevalence in the female animals in this study may be attributed to immunesuppression in advanced pregnancy because it was observed that 11 (78.6%) of the 14 positive female animals were grossly pregnant. Association between pregnancy and anaplasmosis was not ascertained. The prevalence based on age was higher in adults (> 2 years) than in the young (≤ 2 years) animals in both species which is in tandem with the findings of Mourad et al.(2015) and Arankumar,(2014), but contradicts the report of Sadaf et al. (2020) who observed higher prevalence in young animals compared to adults. The higher prevalence in adult animals in this study may likely be because adult animals are more exposed to tick infestation as they have gone through more tick seasons than the young animals.

FUDMA Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Volume 9 Number 3, September 2023, Pp. 52-57

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study revealed that prevalence among the female animals was observed higher in pregnant ones in both goats and sheep and therefore, special veterinary and management care should be given to tick infested pregnant animals because of their prone to the disease due to their suppressed immunity during pregnancy. Also, adult animals that have gone through many ticks' seasons with heavy tick infestation should also be given special veterinary and management attention. Veterinary care through prevention and control of ticks by chemical method either by fumigation of the animal farms with acaricides or regular treatment of tick infested animals with acaricides by direct application on the animal host is recommended. Also, management changes such as clearing bushes, keeping pastures mowed and avoiding tick habitats during the season of greatest tick activity may be helpful and therefore recommended.

REFERENCES

- Adamu, B.S and Balarabe L.M (2012).Prevalence of Haemoparasites of Sheep and Goats slaughtered in Bauchi Abattoir. *International Journal of Applied Biological Resources*, 4(1):128-133
- Ademola, I.O and Onyiche T.E (2013).Haemoparasites and Haematological Parameters of Slaughtered Ruminants and Pigs at Bodija Abattoir, Ibadan, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, 16(2):101-105
- Akerejola, O.C, Schillhorn Van Veen W.I. and Njoku O.C (1979). Ovine and Caprine Diseases in Nigeria: A Review of Economic Losses. Bulletin of Animal Health and Production in Africa, 27(2):65-70
- Alayande M.O, Mayaki A.M, Mamuda M, and Talabi A.O (2016): Incidence of Anaplasmosis in Sheep slaughtered in Sokoto Abattoir, Northwestern Nigeria. *African Journal of Livestock Extension*,15 (1)1-5
- Anyanwu, N.C.J, Iheanacho, C.N and Adogo, L.Y (2016). Parasitological screening of Haemo-Parasite of Small Ruminants in Karu Local Government Area of Nassarawa State, Nigeria. *British Microbiology Research Journal*, 11(6):1-8
- Arankumar, S (2014). A Report on the Incidence of Anaplasmaovis infection in Sheep International Journal of Food Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, 4(1):71-78
- Bekele, J, Tariku M and Achebe R (2011): External Parasites Infestations in Small Ruminants in

Wolmera District of Oramia Region Central Ethiopia. *Journal Animal Veterinary Advance*, 10(4):518-523

- Bell-Sakyi, L, Koney E.B, Dogbey M.O and Walker A.R (2004): Incidence and Prevalence of Tick Borne Haemoparasites in Domestic Ruminants in Ghana. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 12(4):25-7
- Chochlakis, D, Ioannou I, Sharif L, Kokkini S, Hristophi N, Dimitriou T, Tselentis Y and Psaroulaki A. (2009). Prevalence of Anaplasmaspp in Goats and Sheep in Cyprus. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Diseases*, 9(5):457–63
- Da Silva N.B, Taus, N.S, Johnson, W.C, Mira, A, and Schnittger, L. (2018). First Report of Anaplasma marginale infection in goats, Brazil. *PLOS ONE*, 13(8):20-24
- De la Fuente, J, Atkinson M.W, Hogg J.T, miller DS, Naranjo V, Almazan C, Anderson N, and Kocan, K.M (2006). Genetic Characterization of Anaplasmaovis strains from bighorn Sheep in Montana. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 42(2):381-385
- Fakoya, E.O and Oloruntoba, A (2009). Socio-Economic Determinants of Small Ruminants Production Amongst Farmers in Osun State, Nigeria. Journal of Humanities, Social sciences and creative Arts, 4(1):90-100
- Githigia, S.M, Thamsborg S.M, Mumya,W.K and Maingi N (2011):Impact of Gastrointestinal Helminthes on production in Goats in Kenya. *Small Ruminant Research*, 4(2):21-29
- Houwen, B.B (2002).Blood Film Preparation and staining procedures. *Clinics in LaboratoryMedicine*,22(1):1-14
- Igwenagu, B.K, Onyiche E, Abdullahi E.T, Maina U.F, Jatau M.M, Monguno, S.H and Kayeri M.B. (2018). Prevalence of Anaplasmaovis and its Haemotology of apparently healthy sahel goats in Maiduguri, Nigeria: A preliminary study. Savannah Journal of Veterinary Science, 2(1):53-57
- Jain, NC (1986). *Schalm's Veterinary Haematology*, 4th edition. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, USA, Pp. 140-175.
- Kagira, J.M and Kanyari, P.W.N (2010). Questionnair survey on urban and peri-urban livestock farming practices and diseases control in Kisumu municipality, Kenya. *Journal of South African Veterinary Association*, 81(2):82-6
- Mourad, B.S, Hanene B, Maroua K, MahaBousrih M and Daaloul-jedidi L.M (2015).First Molecular Survey of *Anaplasmabovis* in Small Ruminants from Tunisia. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 1(1):1-5

FUDMA Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Volume 9 Number 3, September 2023, Pp. 52-57

- Nasreen, K.S, Khan A, Niaz S, and Akhtar N (2016). Serodiagonosis and Haemotological Effect of Anaplasmosis in Goats and Sheep of District Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *World Journal of Zoology*, 11(2):67-80
- National Population Commission, 2006 PHC Priority Tables.Population.gov.ng. *Retrieved* 10 October 2017
- Noaman, V (2013).Discrimination between A.marginale and A.ovis by PCR-RFLP. World Applied Sciences Journal,21(2):190-195
- Opara, M.N, Santali,A, Mohammed, B.R and Jesede, O.C (2016). Prevalence of Haemoparasite of Small Ruminants in Lafia, Nassarawa State: A Guinea Savanna zone of Nigeria. *Journal* of Veterinary Advance6(6):1251-1257
- Sadaf, N, Zia Ur R, Ijaz A, Raquel C, Abdullah D, Alanazi I, JehanZeb N and Adil K. (2020). Parasitology, 1(2): 1-5
- Sheferaw, D, Degefu H and Banteyirgu D (2010). Epidemiological study of Small Ruminants

Mange mites in three Agro-Ecological zones of Wokyta, Southern Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Veterinary Journal*, 14(1): 31-38

- Stoltsz, A (2004).Ovine and CaprineAnaplasmosis. Journal of Infectious Disease of Livestock,4(1):5-7
- Stuen, S (2020).Haemoparasites-challenging and Wasting Infection in Small Ruminants.A Review. Animals,10(2):21-29
- Taraba state Government Diary (2018).*Taraba official Diary, Government printer Jalingo*, Nigeria Pp 37-42
- Thrusfied, M (2007). *Veterinary Epidemiology*,3rd Edition, Blackwell Ltd. Oxford.www.blackwell publishing.com
- Torina, A.J, Vicente A, Along S, Scimrca R, Turla S. Nicosia V. Di marco S, Caracappa and De la Fuente J (2007): Observed Prevalence of Tick-Borne Pathogen in Domestic Animals in Sicily, Italy during 2003-2005. Zoonoses Public Health,5(4):8-15